```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 7
                CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 8
                          WESTERN DIVISION
 9
10
   WESTON SCOTT KRUGER,
                                     No. SA CV 12-01006-SVW (VBK)
11
12
                  Petitioner,
                                      ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND
                                      RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES
13
                                      MAGISTRATE JUDGE
        v.
14
   JOHN N. KATAVICH,
15
                  Respondent.
16
17
        Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636, the Court has reviewed the Petition
   for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition"), the records and files herein,
18
19
   and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate
   Judge ("Report"). Further, the Court has engaged in de novo review of
20
   those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected.
21
22
   //
   //
23
24
   //
25
   //
   //
26
27
    //
28
```

IT IS ORDERED that: (1) the Court accepts the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge, and (2) the Court declines to issue a Certificate of Appealability ("COA"). $^1$ 

DATED: May 9, 2013

issuance of a COA.

STEPHEN V. WILSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Under 28 U.S.C. §2253(c)(2), a COA may issue "only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." The Supreme Court has held that, to obtain a Certificate of Appealability under §2253(c), a habeas petitioner must show that "reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further'." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84, 120 S.Ct. 1595 (2000)(internal quotation marks omitted); see also Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336, 123 S.Ct. 1029 (2003). After review of Petitioner's contentions herein, this Court concludes that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of 

the denial of a constitutional right, as is required to support the